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Abstract
This research paper proposes a method for the compression of medical images using an efficient hybrid algorithm. The objective of this hybrid (DWT, DCT and Huffman quantization) scheme is to calculate the compression ratio, peak signal to noise ratio and mean square error by changing the DWT level and Huffman quantization factor. The goal is to achieve higher compression ratio by applying different compression thresholds for the wavelet coefficient of each DWT band and then DCT with varying Huffman quantization factor while preserving the quality of reconstructed image. First DWT and DCT is applied on individual components RGB. After applying this image is quantized using Huffman quantization to calculate probability index for each unique quantity so as to find out the unique binary code for each unique symbol for their encoding.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wavelet transform provides numerous desirable properties such as multi-resolution representation; scalability and progressive transmission which are beneficial to image compression applications as there is a need to handle lots of medical images in the hospitals[3]. The amount of data produced by X-ray and CT scan techniques is vast and this might be a problem when sending the data over a network. To overcome this problem, image compression has been introduced in the field of medical[5]. There have been numerous compression research studies, examining the use of compression as applied to medical images. To achieve higher degree of compression we have to choose the hybrid scheme of DWT, DCT and Huffman encoding compression technique. This paper proposes an approach to improve the performance of medical image compression while satisfying medical team who need to use it. There are several types of image compressions available but in case of biomedical images the loss of diagonasability of the image is not tolerable and hence to achieve higher degree of compression without any significant loss in the diagonasability of the image. An effective DWT algorithm
has been performed on the RGB parts of the extracted input image separately. Once the DWT is performed on the image then next is to apply DCT by dividing the image into 60X60 blocks to make the components of frequency of the image which are greater than 60 as 0\[1\]. After this histogram probability reduction function for all RGB components are calculated using Mean intensities. Then Image quantization is performed using ‘q’ factor which calculates probability index for each unique quantity. After applying quantization, Huffman code for each unique symbol is calculated so as to compress the image using Huffman compression\[1\]. At the end the Compression ratio, Peak-signal-to-noise ratio and mean square error is calculated reducing the amount of data required to represent a given quantity of information.

II. PROPOSED ALGORITHM STEPS

i. First Image is to be loaded in MATLAB using Image Acquisition.

ii. Apply DWT Compression on individual RGB components using different wavelets on all the levels of the image.

iii. Apply DCT compression on individual RGB components on each and every block.

iv. Apply histogram probability reduction function on RGB components using Mean intensities.

v. Calculate probability index for each unique quantity to calculate the occurrence of each quantity.

vi. Calculate unique binary code of Huffman code for each unique symbol.

vii. Apply Huffman compression using Huffman tree.

viii. Calculate CR, PSNR and MSE.

III. MAJOR STEPS IN DETAIL

In this section all the steps which are required for the medical image compression are proposed.

A. Image loading & resizing of image: In order to compress the image, the foremost step is to load the image and then the loaded image is resized into 256x256 format so to reduce the compression time.

B. Discrete wavelet Transform: For the compression of image, firstly the DWT is applied on the image using the threshold value. On applying DWT one can obtain different levels of bands\[3\]. Threshold values neglects the certain wavelet coefficients. For doing this one has to decide the value of threshold. Value of threshold affects the quality of compressed image.
C. Discrete Cosine Transform: DCT is applied separately on R, G and B components of the image. Discrete cosine transform is applied on the compressed image to further compress the image by selecting the DCT threshold value to 200. We have fixed this value otherwise we can also vary this value to change the results. Then IDCT is applied on every component of the image[1].

D. Huffman compression for R, G and B: In the proposed compression method before applying Huffman compression, quantization is applied as it will give better results. In quantization, compression is achieved by compressing a range of values to a single quantum value[6]. When the given number of discrete symbols in a given stream is reduced, the stream becomes more compressible. After the image is quantized, Huffman compression is applied. The Huffman has used a variable-length code table for the encoding of each character of an image where the variable-length code table is derived from the estimated probability of occurrence for each possible value of the source symbol. Huffman has used a particular method for choosing the representation for each symbol which has resulted in a prefix codes[1]. These prefix codes expresses the most common source symbols using shorter strings of bits than are used for less common source symbols. In this way, we have achieved a compressed image.

E. Calculation of CR, PSNR and MSE: After the image is compressed, last step is to calculate the CR, PSNR and MSE on different medical images.

F. To calculate CR Compression ratio is defined as the ratio of an original image to the compressed image

\[
CR = \left(\frac{\text{original size} - \text{compressed size}}{\text{original size}}\right) \times 100;
\]

G. To calculate PSNR

Peak Signal –to-noise ratio is the ratio between the maximum possible power of a signal to the power of corrupting noise that affects the fidelity of its representation.

\[
PSNR = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left(\frac{MAX^2}{MSE}\right)
\]

\[
= 20 \cdot \log_{10} \left(\frac{MAX}{\sqrt{MSE}}\right)
\]

\[
= 20 \cdot \log_{10} (MAX) - 10 \cdot \log_{10} (MSE)
\]
IV. TEST RESULTS

Below tables are showing the results obtained from the selected brain image using different DWT level with the varying Huffman quantization factor and selected wavelet filter which is taken as Symlet4. The test is performed on medical image of size (256x256). To show the results of involved parameters on the compression ratio, peak signal-to-noise ratio and mean squared error, different values of scaling factor (q) are used which affects the quantization steps for Huffman compression. Below tables are showing the varying CR, PSNR and MSE with the varying Huffman quantization factor. We can also obtain the results on different images. We can also take different parameters to obtain the results.

Below image is the selected image which is taken for compression.

![Original image used in Test](image)

Table I: Brain image results with the varying Huffman quantization factor at DWL level as 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DWT Level</th>
<th>Huffman quant factor</th>
<th>Wavelet filter</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>48.75</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>19.41</td>
<td>48.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>26.47</td>
<td>46.59</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>37.84</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>35.88</td>
<td>43.77</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>38.84</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>41.66</td>
<td>41.40</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>44.21</td>
<td>40.46</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>46.44</td>
<td>39.53</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>48.19</td>
<td>38.69</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As we can observe from the results that compression ratio is increasing with the decreasing peak signal to noise ratio and increasing mean squared error.

Table II: Brain image results with the varying Huffman quantization factor at DWL level as 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DWT Level</th>
<th>Huffman quant factor</th>
<th>Wavelet filter</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>44.25</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>19.43</td>
<td>44.20</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>26.51</td>
<td>43.27</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>31.85</td>
<td>42.74</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>35.94</td>
<td>41.76</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>38.87</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>41.68</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>44.24</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>46.46</td>
<td>38.63</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sym4</td>
<td>48.24</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>10.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

In this paper a new hybrid scheme for medical image compression is proposed using hybrid of DWT, DCT and Huffman coding algorithm. This technique is tested against different medical images using different values of Huffman quantization factor. As the quantization factor increases the compression ratio increases and the quality measurement (PSNR) decreases.
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